

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/552,989	02/05/2007	Kohei Nagayama	00684.109158.	2484	
	7590 09/15/201 CELLA HARPER &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1290 Avenue of the Americas			BRAY, STEPHEN A		
NEW YORK,	NY 10104-3800	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2629			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/15/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/552,989	NAGAYAMA, KOHEI		
Examiner		Art Unit		
	STEPHEN BRAY	2629		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -THE REPLY FILED 07 September 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. Q The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compiliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 27 CED 1 126(a). The date on which the notition under 27 CED 1 126(a) and the engrapriate extension for

no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TV
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,138(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, it checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any arended patter therm adulatment. See 37 CFR 1,704(a).
NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date o
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
AMENDMENTS
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: 16 and 19-26.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. 🔀 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet

See Continuation Sheet.

2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

12.	Ш	Note the attached	Information	Disclosure	Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s).	
12		Other:						

/Amr Awad/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629 Examine

/STEPHEN A BRAY/ Examiner, Art Unit 2629 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment made to Claim 16 by changing the word "comprising" to the phrase "formed of" is a change which narrows the scope of Claim 16. Section 2111.03 of the MPEP states that the term "comprising" is "...inclusive or open-ended and does not exclude additional, unrecited elements or method steps." Therefore exchanging the phrase "formed of" for the term "comprising" arrows the scope of what can make up the resistance layer which would require further search and/or consideration by the Examiner.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the Applicant's argument on Pages 6-7 regarding the likeda et al (US 6,741,385) reference, the Examiner disagrees that likeda et al fails to teach a resistance layer electrically connecting the first electrode and the second electrode. As Claim 16 is written, there is nothing that precludes the term 'electrically connecting' from applying to a capacitive connection between electrode 5d and electrode 5b. The insulating layer 9 acts to prevent a short circuit connection from occurring between electrodes 5b and 5d. There is nothing that prevents a capacitive electrical connection being made between the two electrodes via the low dielectric insulating layer 9. Therefore Endo (WO 2004/044647) in view of likeda et al (US 6,741,385) still teaches the subject matter of Claim 16.